TABLE OF CONTENTS			
Cha	pter		Page
Exec	UTIVE SU	JMMARY	ES-1
1.	Purp	OSE AND NEED	1-1
	1.1	Introduction	<b>1</b> -1
	1.2	Purpose and Need for Action	1-4
	1.3	Public Involvement	1-5
	1.4	Related Activities	1-5
2.	DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES		2-1
	2.1	Introduction	2-1
	2.2	Long-term Water Service Contract Negotiations Process	2-1
	2.3	Issues Considered as Part of Long-term Contract Renewals	2-2
		2.3.1 Needs Analyses	2-2
		2.3.2 Changes in Water Service Areas	2-3
	0.4	2.3.3 Water Transfers	2-3
	2.4	Development of Alternatives	2-3
		2.4.1 No Action Alternative	2-4
		2.4.2 Alternative 1 2.4.3 Alternative 2	2-5 2-7
	2.5	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated	2-7 2-8
	2.5	2.5.1 Nonrenewal of Long-term Contracts	2-8
		2.5.2 Reduction in Contract Amounts	2-8
	2.6	Selection of the Preferred Alternative	2-8
	2.7	Summary of Conclusions	2-8
3.	AFFE	CTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND ENVIRONMENTAL	COMMITMENTS3-
	3.1	Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement	3-1
		3.1.1 Localized Impacts of PEIS on Preferred Alternative	3-2
	3.2	Agricultural Economics	3-3
		3.2.1 Affected Environment	3-3
		3.2.2 Environmental Consequences	3-7
		3.2.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-30
	3.3	Water Resources	3-31
		3.3.1 Affected Environment	3-31
		3.3.2 Environmental Consequences	3-39
	0.4	3.3.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-42
	3.4	Land Use	3-46
		3.4.1 Affected Environment	3-46
		3.4.2 Environmental Consequences 3.4.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-51
	3.5	3.4.3 Cumulative Impacts Biological Resources	3-51 3-52
	3.3	3.5.1 Affected Environment	3-52
		3.5.2 Plants	3-62
		3.5.3 Invertebrates	3-65
		3.5.4 Fish	3-66
		3.5.5 Amphibians and Reptiles	3-68
		3.5.6 Birds	3-69
		3.5.7 Mammals	3-70
		3.5.8 Environmental Consequences	3-72
		3.5.9 Cumulative Impacts	3-76
	3.6	Social Conditions and Environmental Justice	3-77

TA	BLE O	F CONTENTS (continued)	
	pter	(**************************************	Page
		3.6.1 Affected Environment	3-77
		3.6.2 Environmental Impacts	3-80
		3.6.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-81
	3.7	Recreational Resources	3-82
		3.7.1 Affected Environment	3-82
		3.7.2 Environmental Consequences	3-83
		3.7.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-83
	3.8	Indian Trust Assets	3-84
		3.8.1 Affected Environment	3-84
		3.8.2 Environmental Consequences	3-84
		3.8.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-84
	3.9	Cultural Resources	3-85
		3.9.1 Affected Environment	3-85
		3.9.2 Environmental Consequences	3-92
	0.40	3.9.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-94
	3.10	Geology and Soils	3-95
		3.10.1 Affected Environment	3-95
		3.10.2 Environmental Consequences	3-95
	2 4 4	3.10.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-97
	3.11	Air Quality 3.11.1 Affected Environment	3-98 3-98
			3-90 3-99
		3.11.2 Environmental Consequences	3-99 3-100
	3.12	3.11.3 Cumulative Impacts Visual Resources	3-100 3-101
	3.12	3.12.1 Affected Environment	3-101
		Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-101
		3.12.2 Environmental Consequences	3-101
		3.12.3 Cumulative Impacts	3-102
4.	Cons	ULTATION AND COORDINATION	4-1
4.			
	4.1	Introduction	4-1
	4.2	Public Involvement	4-1
		4.2.1 Public Scoping	4-1
		4.2.2 Public Participation During Contract Negotiations	4-2 4-3
	4.2	4.2.3 Public Comment on the Draft EA	
	4.3	Consultation and Coordination with Other Agencies 4.3.1 National Environmental Policy Act	4-3 4-3
		4.3.2 Endangered Species Act	4-3 4-3
		4.3.3 Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	4-3
		4.3.4 National Historic Preservation Act	4-3
		4.3.5 Indian Trust Assets	4-4
		4.3.6 Indian Sacred Sites on Federal Land	4-4
		4.3.7 Environmental Justice	4-4
		4.3.8 State, Area-wide, and Local Plan and Program Consistency	4-4
		4.3.9 Flood Plain Management	4-5
		4.3.10 Wetlands Protection	4-5
		4.3.11 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	4-5
		4.3.12 Farmland Protection Policy Act and Farmland Preservation	4-5
		4.3.13 Clean Air Act	4-5
		4.3.14 Safe Drinking Water Act	4-6
		4.3.15 Clean Water Act	4-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued) Chapter			Page
5. References		RENCES	5-1
6.	GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS		6-1
	6.1	Glossary	6-1
	6.2	Acronyms	6-8

List	OF FIGURES	
Figure		Page
1-1 3.3-1	Sacramento River Division – Regional Location Comparison of Natural Runoff (Basis for Sacramento River Index) and Water Delivered to	1-3
	Sacramento River Division Contractors as Percent of Contract Amount	3-33
3.5-1	Vegetative Habitat - Tehama County Area of Potential Effect	3-53
3.5-2	Vegetative Habitat - Glenn County Area of Potential Effect	3-54
3.5-3	Vegetative Habitat - Colusa and Yolo Counties Area of Potential Effect	3-55
Lioz	0- Table - 0	
<b>LIS</b> I Table	OF TABLES	Page
1-1	Water Contractors in West Sacramento Valley/Sacramento River Division	1-2
1-2	Related Activities	1-6
2-1	Comparison of Contract Provisions Considered in Alternatives	2-9
2-2	Summary of Potential Impacts	2-14
3.2-1	1994 Irrigation Contract Maximum and Cost of Service Rates (1994)	3-3
3.2-2	Cropping Pattern Potentially Affected Districts (1996)	3-5
3.2-3	Summary of Cropping Pattern	3-6
3.2-4 3.2-5	Census of Agriculture Statistics (1997) Estimated Output, Employment and Income by Place-of-Work Affected Region (1991)	3-6 3-7
3.2-5 3.2-6	1999 Irrigation Water Rates Under 80-10-10 Tiered Pricing Sacramento River Division	3-7
	Contractors No Action Alternative	3-12
3.2-7	Irrigated Acres of Potentially Affected Contractors No Action Alternative AVERAGE	
	Hydrologic Condition (2030)	3-13
3.2-8	Irrigated Acres of Sacramento River Division Contractors Average Hydrologic Condition SUMMARY No Action Alternative (2030)	3-14
3.2-9	Irrigated Acres of Potentially Affected Contractors No Action Alternative DRY Hydrologic	J-1 <del>4</del>
	Condition (2030)	3-15
3.2-10	Irrigated Acres of Sacramento River Division Contractors DRY Hydrologic Condition	
	SUMMARY No Action Alternative (2030)	3-16
3.2-11		0.47
0 0 40	AVERAGE Hydrologic Condition (Year 2030 in 1999 Dollars)	3-17
3.2-12	Gross Value of Production of Potentially Affected Contractors AVERAGE Hydrologic	0.40
2 2 42	Condition SUMMARY No Action Alternative (Year 2030 in 1999 Dollars)	3-18
3.2-13	Gross Value of Production of Potentially Affected Contractors No Action Alternative DRY Hydrologic Condition (Year 2030 in 1999 Dollars)	3-19
3.2-14	Gross Value of Production of Sacramento River Division Contractors DRY Hydrologic	
	Condition SUMMARY No Action Alternative (Year 2030 in 1999 Dollars)	3-20
3.2-15	Estimated Output, Employment and Income by Place-of-Work Affected Region (1991)	3-21
3.2-16	1999 Proposed Irrigation Water Rates Incremental Increase Relative to No Action	
	Alternative Sacramento River Division Contractors Alternative 2	3-22
3.2-17	1999 Proposed Irrigation Water Rates Incremental Percentage Increase Relative to No	
	Action Alternative Sacramento River Division Contractors Alternative 2	3-23
3.2-18	Incremental Impacts on Irrigated Acres of Sacramento River Division Lands Average	
	Hydrologic Condition Following 5-Years Dry Condition Alternative 2 (2030)	3-24
3.2-19	Incremental Impacts on Irrigated Acres of Sacramento River Division Lands Average	
	Hydrologic Condition Following 5-Years Dry Condition SUMMARY Alternative 2 (2030)	3-25
3.2-20	Incremental Impacts on Gross Value of Production of Potentially Affected Service Areas	
	Average Hydrologic Condition Following 5-Years Dry Condition Alternative 2 (Year 2030	0.00
	in 1999 Dollars)	3-26

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table		Page
3.2-21	Incremental Impacts on Gross Value of Production of Potentially Affected Contractors	
	Average Hydrologic Condition Following 5-Years Dry Condition <u>SUMMARY</u> Alternative 2 (Year 2030 in 1999 Dollars)	3-27
3.2-22	Estimated Net Farm Revenue Impacts Average Hydrologic Condition Following 5-Years D	_
	Condition Affected Region (1999)	3-28
3.2-23	Affected Region Output Impacts – Alternative 2 (1991 Comparative Basis)	3-29
3.2-24	Affected Region Employment Impacts – Alternative 2 (1991 Comparative Basis)	3-29
3.2-25	Affected Region Income by Place-of-Work Impacts - Alternative 2 (1991 Comparative Bas	is)3-30
3.3-1	Water Use Summary for Corning Canal Water Districts (1995-1999)	3-34
3.3-2	Water Use Summary for TCC Water Contractors (1995-1999)	3-36
3.3-3	Summary of Water Needs Assessment Quantities	3-40
3.5-1	Habitat Types in the Service Areas of the Sacramento River Division	3-56
3.6-1	Population Estimates and per capita income	3-77
3.6-2	June 2000 Unemployment Rates for Colusa, Glenn, and Tehama Counties in 1999	3-78
3.6-3	Farms and Farmworkers in the Project Area	3-78
3.6-4	Colusa County Population Totals and Projections with Race/Ethnic Detail	3-79
3.6-5	Glenn County Population Totals and Projections with Race/Ethnic Detail	3-79
3.6-6	Tehama County Population Totals and Projections with Race/Ethnic Detail	3-80

## LIST OF APPENDICES

## Appendix

Α	List of Preparers
В	Distribution List
С	Special Status Species
D	Economic Analysis
E	Public Comments and Responses
F	Representative Water District Contract for the Sacramento River Division
G	Individual Water District Maps for the Sacramento River Division

DON'T DELETE - TOC CODING